

Henderson City-County
Planning Commission
June 7, 2022

The Henderson City-County Planning Commission held a meeting June 7, 2022 at 6:00 p.m., at the Henderson Municipal Center, 222 First Street, Third Floor Assembly Room, Henderson, Kentucky and via teleconference. Members present: Chairman David Dixon, Vice-Chairman Kevin Richard, Bobbie Jarrett, Gary Gibson, Dickie Johnson, Stacy Denton, X.R. Royster, Frank Boyett and Tommy Joe Fridy. Doug Bell, Mac Arnold and Gray Hodge were present via ZOOM. Kevin Herron was absent. Staff present: Director Brian Bishop, Jennifer Marks, Theresa Curtis, and Heather Lauderdale. Chris Raymer was absent.

MEETING BEGAN AT 6:00 PM

Chairman Dixon: I would like to call this June 7, 2022 meeting of the Henderson City-County Planning Commission to order. Welcome everybody, thank you all for coming.

Madame Secretary, will you please call the roll.

Chairman Dixon: Very good, do we have a quorum?

Heather Lauderdale: We do.

Chairman Dixon: We have a couple of Public Hearing items to attend to, so I'll entertain a motion to go into **Public Hearing**.

MOTION WAS MADE BY KEVIN RICHARD, SECONDED BY GARY GIBSON TO GO INTO PUBLIC HEARING.

Chairman Dixon: We have a motion and a second, any discussion?

All in favor say aye.

AYE: ALL

Chairman Dixon: Any opposed?

NAY: NONE

Chairman Dixon: We're in public hearing.

The first item is **the approval of the minutes for the May 3, 2022 Planning Commission meeting**. I'll entertain a motion to approve.

MOTION WAS MADE BY BOBBIE JARRETT, SECONDED BY X.R. ROYSTER TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FROM MAY 3, 2022 MINUTES AS DISTRIBUTED.

Chairman Dixon: We have a motion and a second, any discussion?

All in favor say aye.

AYE: ALL

Chairman Dixon: Good. The next item is **Rezoning #1128**. Ms. Marks, I think you're going to help us with that?

Jennifer Marks: Yes, thank you.

Rezoning #1128 was submitted by Chapel Hill United Methodist Church for the property located in the City of Henderson at 2601 Hwy 60 E (PID# 65I-47), containing approximately 4.75 acres. Applicants are requesting a zoning change/map amendment from R-2 to General Business to replace an existing florescent sign with a new digital sign.

So, you guys can see here this is the current zoning of the area. Again, the purpose of this rezoning is so they are able to install a new, digital sign. Currently digital signs are not allowable in residentially zoned areas. So, they are requesting that General Business which would then allow for that digital sign. Digital signs do still require a Conditional Use Permit and the General Business area of that will still go to BOZA for this design type, and stuff like that.

Historically this property has always been used as a church or a gathering place and I do believe we have the applicant here if they would like to speak to any further of that discussion. I do also have some findings I can read into the record whenever you all are ready for those.

Chairman Dixon: Does the Commission have any questions for staff?

Would the Commission like to hear from the applicants?

Would you like to speak to this sir?

Randy Owens: Yes.

Chairman Dixon: Very good.

Randy Owens: My name is Randy Owens, I'm representing Chapel Hill Methodist Church.

Chairman Dixon: I need your address.

Randy Owens: My address?

Chairman Dixon: Yes sir.

Randy Owens: 1108 N. Elm Street.

Chairman Dixon: And I need to ask you to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth this evening.

Randy Owens: I do.

Chairman Dixon: Thank you, sir.

Randy Owens: I'm representing the church because I did make the application. We had talked about this in the Board of Trustees. Brian Larson is the Chairman of the Board of Trustees and also Ed Shelton is here representing the Administrative Council.

I talked to Ray Nix, who has since gone to another venue and then talked to Steve Davis about this.

We are wanting to change this sign from fluorescent to LED, digital sign and we would like to get in the 21st Century and be able to present things and even make it a mission of the church that we might be able to display people who have had weddings, people who we want to honor, things that happen with the children and the youth program.

We are really thinking this will be a good asset not just for us but for the community so they will know what's going on in our church.

Right now I have a presentation if you want to see it; what it would look like, what the sign would look like digital size. I want to let you know the sign will be retro-fitted, it will not be changed hardly in any way from the standpoint of aesthetics. Looking at it, it will be approximately the same size. The only difference is we will be going from a fluorescent which is about 60 lumens per watt to a 75 lumens per watt in LED.

One thing I also wanted to say to you is it will have a photo sensor on it and at night, the photo sensor will be reducing the voltage on the sign, on the LED supply as to bring it back and so it's not glaring at people who are driving by. I know some signs I have seen are entirely too bright at night and we don't want to cause in accidents in that case.

Chairman Dixon: We would like to see the presentation.

Randy Owens: We have A, B and C here, if you will click on that (referring to the presentation presented on the large screen). It shows the size of the lettering. If you'll notice the sign on top, and that size is a 2 ½' x 8'. The original size of the sign itself right now is about a 10' x 5 ½' at this present time.

So the retrofitting will be in just the area you see right there. This is also the B one, the B option. This is from Signs Express out of Texas and do I need to tell you how much it's going to be? Do you need to know?

Chairman Dixon: No sir.

Randy Owens: Too much. (Laughter)

Anyway, this option they did a little better on top, if you'll see the top where the Chapel Hill is, we'll be able to change that out if we want to change it out something, hopefully it will still be Chapel Hill but anyway...

C is the most expensive one, it's the Cadillac. It is a larger sign, it's a 3'6" x 8' and would be about a foot higher which would make it just a little bit taller. See, do you see that?

So, that's what we're proposing. We would like for you to sanction it, if you would and we know that we will have to the Codes and have to look at the existing structure, and those type of things and we understand that.

I don't know if there are any objections. They did send out notifications I believe, did they not?

Brian Bishop: We notify all property adjoiners who touch and are across the road.

Randy Owens: I don't know if there are any objections at this point.

Brian Bishop: Not at this time.

Randy Owens: I do know General Business is in the Starlight area. Also I know that Assembly of God was in commercial because it was in with the Wal-Mart deal. I do know also that Race Creek Baptist Church on South Green, I believe is General Business; I believe that's right.

I don't know what the New Hope Baptist is on 60, I don't know if that's Agricultural; I do not know.

But we believe it will be a great asset, not just to Chapel Hill but to the community.

We can put events on there for the community; it could be done.

The one thing is that I'm getting older, I've been there 60 years and have been changing this thing out and it's a safety hazard folks for us people that are getting up there and we would like to see it changed to digital so we can make a better community.

Chairman Dixon: Thank you. Do any Commissioners have any questions for this gentleman?

Would anyone else like to speak to this rezoning; for or against?

Ms. Marks, I think you said you had some Findings of Facts you wanted to get into the record?

Jennifer Marks: I do. Just for noting on the printed copy of the Findings of Facts, it states this rezoning was submitted with a Narrative Development Plan and it was not submitted with a Narrative. So we can get that in the minutes that was an error on my part.

REZONING #1128 WITH A NARRATIVE DEVELOPMENT PLAN Submitted by Chapel Hill United Methodist Church, INC., for the property located in the City of Henderson at 2601 HWY 60 East (PID# 65I-47), containing approximately 4.75 acres (herein referred to as the "subject property"). Applicants request a zoning change/map amendment from Medium Density Residential District (R-2) to General Business District (GB) to replace existing florescent sign with a new digital sign.

I move that the Planning Commission recommend that the Henderson Board of Commissioners (the "City") APPROVE Rezoning Application #1128 changing the zoning classification from Medium Density Residential District (R-2) to General Business (GB) for the

subject property, I leave the motion open for other members of the Planning Commission to add findings of fact in support of this motion, because;

The proposed zoning classification is in agreement with the Future Land Use Map of the Comprehensive Plan, which depicts this area developing in a public, semi-public and office nature. All similar uses are found in the proposed General Business Zone.

Although no further findings are required as the proposed use is in agreement with the Future Land Use Map of the Comprehensive Plan; the existing R-2 zoning classification is also inappropriate and the proposed GB zoning classification is appropriate, because:

- **The property has historically been used as a church or a gathering place.**
- **The property is located along and on the North side of U. S. Highway 60 on the East side of Henderson, which is a major thoroughfare; and, a major arterial street.**
- **Another church is located immediately across U. S. Hwy. 60.**
- **An apartment complex is located to the East and across Watson Lane, which is a side street.**
- **A Bend Gate School is located to the West and across Bend Gate Road which is a side street**

- **The proposed use will not adversely impact the other uses in the area.**

Chairman Dixon: Thank you.

Tommy Joe Fridy: Do you adopt the factual part of that as your testimony?

Jennifer Marks: I do.

Chairman Dixon: Ok, do we have any further discussion by the Commission, no one else wants to speak to this?

I'll entertain a motion in regard to Rezoning #1128.

MOTION WAS MADE BY KEVIN RICHARD, SECONDED BY GARY GIBSON TO ADOPT THE PROPOSED MOTION THAT WAS JUST READ INTO THE MINUTES FOR AN APPROVAL FOR REZONING #1128 SUBMITTED BY CHAPEL HILL UNITED METHODIST CHURCH.

Chairman Dixon: We have a motion and a second, any further discussion?

Madame Secretary, will you please call the roll?

AYE: ALL

Chairman Dixon: Very good, the motion passes. Thank you, sir.

Randy Owens: Thank you for allowing us to step into the 21st Century.

The next thing is to raise the money. Thank you.

Chairman Dixon: I think that concludes the Public Hearing items on the agenda so I'll entertain a motion to leave Public Hearing.

MOTION WAS MADE BY BOBBIE JARRETT, SECONDED BY GARY GIBSON TO GO OUT OF PUBLIC HEARING.

Chairman Dixon: A motion and a second, any discussion?

All in favor say aye.

AYE: ALL

Chairman Dixon: Any opposed?

The next item on the agenda is the **May Finance Report**. Mrs. Curtis?

Theresa Curtis: In the May Finance Report, we are at 88% of budget; just one month left in this budget. I can answer if you have any questions, if not I just need a recommendation to approve.

MOTION WAS MADE BY DICKIE JOHNSON, SECONDED BY BOBBIE JARRETT TO APPROVE THE MAY FINANCE REPORT.

Chairman Dixon: We have a motion and a second to approve the Finance Report. All in favor say aye.

AYE: ALL

Chairman Dixon: Any opposed?

The next item is the **Bond Report**. Ms. Marks, is this yours?

Jennifer Marks: Yes, thank you.

We do have four bond updates to visit today so I'll go through all four of them and if you have any questions on those just let me know.

Home Place did request we review their current bonding for erosion control. Upon that review, it was suggested that we lower the erosion control bond from \$54,170.00 to \$35,210.50 for the next year.

Taco Bell also has an erosion control bond currently existing. We are good to release that bond, and the amount we currently have is \$6,250.00

We also have a bond for SKN Properties for Kiran Patel, which is for the removal of a sign on his property. We are going to extend that bond for one year at the same amount; \$5,300.00

And then lastly we have the South Main Development which is Bob Cornbleet's townhomes and we are releasing that current erosion control bond that we have for \$1,566.50.

I just need approval to move forward with those changes.

Chairman Dixon: Any questions from the Commission? I'll entertain a motion to approve the Bond Report.

MOTION AS MADE BY MAC ARNOLD, SECONDED BY DICKIE JOHNSON TO APPROVE THE BOND REPORT AS SUBMITTED.

Chairman Dixon: We have a motion and a second, any discussion?

All in favor say aye.

AYE: ALL

Chairman Dixon: Any opposed?

Very good, the Bond Report is approved.

The next item on the agenda is **Hercules Manufacturing Company Storage Yard Site Plan**. Mr. Bishop, you're going to help us with that?

Brian Bishop: Yes sir.

Hercules Manufacturing Company Storage Yard Site Plan is submitted by Hercules Manufacturing Company for the property located in the City of Henderson at 800 Bob Posey St. (PID#65H-10) Applicants are requesting site plan approval.

As you can see on the site plan, the applicants are requesting two (2) types of improvements to the site. The first one, they are proposing a three inch (3") overlay of 39,884 square feet in a floodplain and they are requesting 63,554 square feet in a floodway. The applicant is also proposing 31,940 square feet of gravel storage yard in a floodplain.

If you'll notice on the screen, the gray area to the upper-right; that is the flood way. That is where they are requesting the three inch (3") overlay.

The area directly west of that is where they are requesting the same three inch (3") overlay but that is in a floodplain.

To the south of that, they are requesting three inch (3") aggregate/gravel parking area; that is in a flood plain.

I have prepared a motion for you guys. There are four (4) subject-to's and if you would like I will read those into the record as well.

Chairman Dixon: First of all, can you explain what the difference is as far as our concerns with floodway and floodplain?

Brian Bishop: Correct. I printed off some documentation for you to help demonstrate what the difference is. When you think of a floodway, it's typically best to think of a channel; that is the actual canal that is carrying the flood water. It is moving with velocity; that's a good way to think of a floodway.

A floodplain, we always joke when we call it a social water when we go to these conferences. That's the water that spreads out and likes to mingle and meet people.

So, you have velocity moving at a quick pace and then water that is generally kind of flaccid; it's just kind of hanging out.

So, that is what this drawing does a really good job of explaining, in my opinion.

Chairman Dixon: Can you indicate for us on the map Canoe Creek? Canoe Creek is the affected waterway, correct?

Brian Bishop: Correct.

The red area that you see on the map is all floodplain, that's the social water that I described. The current flood maps project water to come out of the bank and flood out into the City there.

The black dotted line is depicted as the flood way. The map shows that as the channel that will carry the flood water, that is the area that would

have velocity; according the flood maps, which were updated in 2017. So, they're pretty up to date.

Kevin Richard: Mr. Bishop if we're seeing this right then the applicants are requesting to knowingly building in a flood way.

Brian Bishop: Correct. There is a partial part of the property already built upon in the flood way and they're bringing that into compliance.

Chairman Dixon: There are no structures planned here, no buildings?

Brian Bishop: Not to my knowledge. This would all be truck body storage to the best of my knowledge.

Dickie Johnson: Let me ask a question. Where it's going to be dense grade, it's going to be pervious, there won't be any major change of (inaudible) water effect?

Brian Bishop: To which part Dickie, I'm sorry. For the new part or for the existing part? The older layer or the new?

Dickie Johnson: You said one of them is going to be (inaudible), correct?

Brian Bishop: Yes.

Dickie Johnson: So, that won't be a major change?

Brian Bishop: It will be for that part, yes. Because if you...that part there is going to be new, that is currently grass so that will be a change.

Kevin Richard: So then to clarify, the top right is existing gravel. The overlay is just additional gravel on top?

Brian Bishop: Correct, a three inch (3") overlay.

Dickie Johnson: The three inch (3") blacktop, is that going to be a pervious blacktop?

Brian Bishop: I believe the drawings call for crushed concrete.

Kevin Richard: So, recycled concrete?

Brian Bishop: Correct.

Chairman Dixon: Are there any other questions for staff?

X.R. Royster: Yes.

Brian Bishop: Yes sir.

X.R. Royster: Having been through a lawsuit with Hercules before, how are we protecting ourselves?

Brian Bishop: In the motion we are attempting to do that; it's number one on the list. I will read it into the record.

One of the subject-to's is that the applicant execute and hold a harmless agreement to the satisfaction of the City of Henderson, The City-County Planning Commission and the Henderson Water Utility.

Once that document is written, it will be reviewed by the three (3) attorney's. The City of Henderson's Attorney, the Henderson Water Utility Attorney, and our own Attorney Mr. Fridy.

Brian Bishop: Mr. Bishop, why don't you go ahead and share the rest of the subject-to's that are being proposed.

Brian Bishop: Yes sir.

Number two (2) would be all applicable permits from the Kentucky Division of Water which is typically a Stream Construction Permit.

Number three (3), a No-rise certification issued by FEMA which stands for the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

And number four (4) bonding for erosion control in the amount of \$13,100.00

Mr. Chairman, I have also printed off some documentation from FEMA that will give you a brief description of what a No-rise certification is.

The short version is that a hydraulic model will be submitted to FEMA by the applicant's engineer. That model must certify that there will be a 0.0 increase in base flood elevation downstream from the project. So, they cannot increase the flood elevation any; 0.0 is the number established by this regulation.

Chairman Dixon: Any other questions for staff?

Is the applicant with us this evening? Would you like to speak to the Commission?

Thank you sir, I'll need your name.

Jeffrey Caddick: My name is Jeffrey Caddick.

Chairman Dixon: Your address?

Jeffrey Craddick: 7221 Woodford Court, Evansville, Indiana.

Chairman Dixon: And I would like to ask you to promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth this evening.

Jeffrey Craddick: Yes sir.

Chairman Dixon: Thank you, please proceed.

Jeffrey Craddick: So the purpose of these (inaudible) that were looking to make is, obviously you can tell by the one photo that shows the actual property; we have a large number of trucks parked back there. So, as time goes on and you're driving heavy trucks around soft ground, you start rutting it. Right now, we have multiple trucks stuck and have to call a tow truck to come get them out.

So, we want to better equip ourselves to be more efficient in terms of storage of the vehicles and retrieving them and what not.

I think that's about the extent of it.

Chairman Dixon: Any questions for this gentleman from the Commission?

Heather Lauderdale: Will you please spell your last name for the record?

Jeffrey Craddick: C-r-a-d-d-i-c-k.

Heather Lauderdale: Thank you.

Chairman Dixon: Any other questions?

Gary Gibson: There won't be any buildings built on there at all will there?

Jeffrey Craddick: No.

Gary Gibson: Just parking?

You know if there comes high water you're going to have to get everything out?

Jeffrey Craddick: Yes sir.

Chairman Dixon: Very good, any other questions? Thank you, sir.

Jeffrey Craddick: Thank you.

Chairman Dixon: Would anyone else like to speak to this issue?

Does the Planning Commission have any other questions?

I'll entertain a motion in regard to Hercules Manufacturing Storage Yard Site Plan as we have discussed.

MOTION WAS MADE BY BOBBIE JARRETT, SECONDED BY DICKIE JOHNSON TO APPROVE THE SITE PLAN FOR THE HERCULES MANUFACTURING STORAGE SITE PLAN SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS THAT WERE READ INTO THE RECORD.

Chairman Dixon: We have a motion and a second, any discussion?

Madame Secretary, please call the roll.

Frank Boyett: Aye.

Gray Hodge: Aye.

Stacy Denton: Aye.

Doug Bell: Aye.

Gary Gibson: Aye.

Dickie Johnson: Aye.

X.R. Royster: No.

Mac Arnold: Aye.

Bobbie Jarrett: Aye.

Kevin Richard: Aye.

Chairman Dixon: The motion passes. Thank you all.

Jeffrey Caddick: Thank you.

Chairman Dixon: Next on the agenda is the **Dunkin Henderson Site Plan**. I think Ms. Marks will help us with that one.

Jennifer Marks: Yes, thank you.

Dunkin Henderson Site Plan is submitted by, and I apologize if I pronounce this incorrectly, Maruti Donuts 4 Realty LLC, for the property located in the City of Henderson at 2222 Hwy 41 North (PID#65B-48). Applicants are requesting site plan approval.

As you can see in your packet, the proposed site plan is located on the parcel and in the building that used to be Tokyo, right in front of the Sleep Inn.

They're proposing to use the existing structure from my understanding and rip everything out and re-do that to be utilized for a Dunkin Donuts as well as a second, potential tenant.

We have received all of our approvals from the technical advisors that are needed. Staff does offer approval of this site plan.

I do know the owner and representative are here if you all have further questions for them on this.

Chairman Dixon: Can you explain what's going on behind the building, it's almost impossible for me to see on the screen and it's not much better on this piece of paper.

We have a line of traffic...

Jennifer Marks: Are you talking about the parking lot and the queuing?

Chairman Dixon: Behind the building.

Jennifer Marks: So, the building itself, there is some parking in front as well; the handicap spots are in the front. Towards the back of the building, like you're going towards the Sleep Inn area, that parking lot will consist of a queuing line as well as other parking spots for either the tenants or customers to utilize.

Chairman Dixon: I see cars coming in but I don't see cars going out.

Jennifer Marks: If you will notice there, kind of when you're getting up to the side of the window, they can go straight out, the exit is right there where it says "Do Not Block Exit"; that will be the exit from the building.

Chairman Dixon: Ok.

Jennifer Marks: The queuing does meet the queuing requirements for codes, as well as the parking.

Chairman Dixon: Ok, thank you. Any other questions for staff in regard to this site plan from the Commission?

Would anyone in the audience that would like to speak to this proposal?

If we don't have further questions, I'll accept a motion in regard to the Dunkin Henderson Site Plan.

MOTION WAS MADE BY DICKIE JOHNSON, SECONDED BY KEVIN RICHARD TO APPROVE THE DUNKIN HENDERSON SITE PLAN, SUBMITTED BY MARUTI DONUTS 4 REALTY LLC FOR THE PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE CITY OF HENDERSON AT 2222 HWY 41 N (PID#65B-48).

Chairman Dixon: We have a motion and a second, any discussion?

Please call the roll.

AYE: ALL

Chairman Dixon: Very good, the motion passes.

We are now on to the **Dirty Diesel Repair, LLC Site Plan**. Ms. Marks, you've got that one too.

Jennifer Marks: The Dirty Diesel Repair, LLC Site Plan is submitted by Scott Smith for the property located in Henderson County at 5680 Riverport Rd (PID#39-1-16). Applicants are requesting site plan approval.

You will notice in your packet this property is going to be located just behind the Tri-State Rentals there on the corner of Hwy 136 and Riverport Rd.

The plans proposes a 6,400 square foot building with, I believe three (3) bays for diesel truck repair. With this building, a new entrance will be required to be constructed and with that entrance we will be requiring bonding in the amount of \$14,364.44.

Other than that we have received all necessary approval for the site plan and staff does offer approval contingent upon the submittal of that bonding.

I do believe the applicant is also here if you guys have any further questions for them.

Chairman Dixon: What was the subject-to on this?

Jennifer Marks: It is subject-to the submittal for the bonding of this project and the bonding is for the entrance as well as the parking in the amount of \$14,364.44.

Chairman Dixon: \$14,364.44.

Any questions from the Commission for staff?

Anybody with us here tonight that would like to speak to the project?

No questions? I'll entertain a motion in regard to the Dirty Diesel Repair, LLC Site Plan.

MOTION WAS MADE BY DICKIE JOHNSON, SECONDED BY BOBBIE JARRETT TO APPROVE THE DIRTY DIESEL REPAIR, LLC SITE PLAN SUBMITTED BY SCOTT SMITH FOR THE PROPERTY LOCATED IN HENDERSON COUNTY AT 5680 RIVERPORT RD (PID#39-1-16). SUBJECT TO THE BONDING FOR THE ENTRANCE AND PARKING IN THE AMOUNT OF \$14,364.44.

Chairman Dixon: We have a motion and a second, any further discussion?

Please call the roll.

AYE: ALL

Chairman Dixon: Thank you, the motion passes.

The next item on the agenda is **Administrative Business** having to do with our Personnel Regulations.

Mr. Bishop?

Brian Bishop: Yes sir.

Staff would like to request that our Personnel Reg's be updated to match those of the city. In January of this year, the city added two (2) paid holidays for the City Employee's benefit.

The first of those is the Juneteenth Day celebration, and then the second day would be Veterans Day as paid holidays.

Again, we typically follow the city's days off because we are in a city building. As you may remember we are created through an interlocal agreement so we typically have to pick the city schedule or the county schedule. It has been our precedent that we would follow the city schedule so we are requesting that our days match those of the city.

Chairman Dixon: Any questions for staff in this regard?

Dickie Johnson: Do we have the money budgeted for these holidays?

Brian Bishop: Yes. It's all the same.

Dickie Johnson: (Laughing) Yeah, I know.

Is a motion in order?

Chairman Dixon: Yes sir.

MOTION WAS MADE BY DICKIE JOHNSON, SECONDED BY X.R. ROYSTER THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION FOLLOW THE CITY OF HENDERSON UPDATED HOLIDAY SCHEDULE FOR JUNETEENTH DAY AND VETERANS DAY FOR HOLIDAYS.

Chairman Dixon: We have a motion and a second, any further discussion?

Please call the roll.

AYE: ALL

Chairman Dixon: Very good, the motion passes, thank you very much.

The next item on the agenda is other business, do we have any other business Mr. Bishop?

Brian Bishop: No sir.

Chairman Dixon: Does anyone else have any other business they would like to bring before the Commission?

Do any Commissioners have any other business they would like to bring forward for the good of the cause?

Hearing none, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.

MOTION WAS MADE BY KEVIN RICHARD, SECONDED BY BOBBIE JARRETT TO ADJOURN.

Chairman Dixon: We have a motion and a second, any discussion?

All in favor say aye.

AYE: ALL

Chairman Dixon: Any opposed? Very good, we stand adjourned.

Thank you all very much for coming.

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 6:36 P.M.

I, HEATHER LAUDERDALE, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcription of the Henderson City-County Planning Commission Meeting of, June 8, 2022 to the best of my ability.

Heather Lauderdale, HCCPC Clerk

X
